SMITH'S REPORT

On the Holocaust Controversy

No. 142 **www.Codoh.com** **September 2007**



Challenging the Holocaust Taboo Since 1990

DIESEL GASSINGS IMPOSSIBLE—NOT MERELY ABSURD

Friedrich Paul Berg

From the CODOH Forum

This topic was introduced to the CODOH Forum on the basis of a new discovery by Fritz Berg where it drew a number of observations. When I told Fritz I was going to use it here, he thought the technical nature of the discussion suggested that he add some comments at the bottom, so I have appended them below with Fritz's provocative title "Why I Must Rewrite Everything I Have Written about Diesel Gas Chambers." Since Fritz has been working on the issue for more than twenty years, this is really rather startling. Here then is the initial CODOH Forum exchange.

Fritz Berg Drives Another Nail into the Holocaust Coffin

An extraordinary case of suicide with gasoline engine exhaust reveals that even with gasoline engine exhaust, death is far from quick. But how quick? A British essay by Flanagan et al (Med. Sci. Law, 1978, v.18, n.2, printed in Great Britain) examines the case of a 36-year-old man in good physical health who had a tape recorder running during his suicide. The tape recording provided that rarest kind of information about any automotive suicide—a timeline. The last sounds recorded occurred twenty minutes after the victim turned his car's gasoline engine on. A reconstruction of the suicide showed that the CO levels had increased steadily over that period, reaching nearly 5% before the victim ceased making any sounds. Death came even later. .

If the engine had been a diesel running under a heavy load, the maximum CO concentration would have been only 0.5% (only 1/10th the concentration of the Flanagan case). If the engine had been a diesel running only at idle—which is the most likely comparable running condition—the CO concentration would have been less than 0.1% (only 1/50th of the Flanagan case). What it all means is that death from diesel exhaust would have taken far, far longer than the 32 minutes claimed by Kurt Gerstein and every other self-proclaimed "eyewitness" to such alleged diesel gassings. All of those "eyewitnesses" are liars. Nearly 2 million Jews had supposedly been murdered at Treblinka, Belzec, Sobibor and in the so-called "gas vans" with diesel exhaust. The evidence shows that those alleged gassings never happened because they were technically impossible.

Erwachtist

The interesting aspects of this are twofold: to increase the CO levels from a gas engine it may be operated in a closed area to reuse the exhaust; however, a diesel engine

Continued on page 7

LETTERS

Arthur R. Butz
A Eulogy for Dr. Robert John

July 16, 2007

The best eulogy is not one of flowery praise. Rather, it sets forth facts that speak for themselves.

When I wrote my book "The Hoax of the Twentieth Century" I had a general policy of starting with a clean slate on almost all matters. Whatever the faults of my work, lack of originality was not one. I don't believe anybody who read my book carefully felt "déjà vu" in reaction to the whole of it.

Apart from a general wish to contribute something original, there was a practical basis for this policy. The works I used were almost invariably hostile, explicitly or implicitly, to the thesis I was arguing. I viewed almost all sources with suspicion and used them cautiously to cite facts, not reproduce points of view or interpretations.

An exception arose when I reached the point where the history of Zionism had to be summarized. I relied very strongly, more than the number of footnotes might suggest, on Dr. Robert John's magnum opus "The Palestine Diary", because here it was indeed, for a well-read person, a matter of déjà vu. Dr. John had seen the grave danger that Zionism posed for the West long before I did. Today only transparent pretenses deny the danger.

Though I had never met, or corresponded with, Robert I could see him as a potential comrade. I was right. After my book was published I met him. We met or spoke by phone many times over the ensuing years. His intellectual acuity persisted throughout.

On April 26 last I received an incisive and discerning e-mail from him. About a month later a matter came up in which, I judged, Robert could be helpful. I telephoned him on May 30. His daughter answered but I heard him in the background, inquiring as to the identity of the caller. I was shocked. It was obvious from his voice that he was in bad shape. Never have I seen such a precipitous decline in a month.

He understood who had called and he wanted to speak with me but that was impossible, we thought, at the moment. I would have to wait a bit, we thought, but we were wrong. A week later he passed, that final conversation never having taken place.

We have lost a pioneer and courageous comrade who was an intellectual pillar and valued advisor until the literal end. That is how we shall remember Dr. Robert John.

Giuseppe Furioso

Imagine that the Holocaust did take place but Hitler is found not guilty at his war crimes trial due to the fact that the trial is held in Germany, the judge is incompetent, and the jury consists of former S.S. concentration camp guards. Furious, Jews file a civil wrongful death action against the onetime German Fuhrer and win a billion dollar judgment. Unfortunately, Hitler has few assets and only a small pension.

Nevertheless, his meager assets are seized, the most significant of which are a few old water colors that he painted while he lived in Vienna, his Iron Cross won in World War l and a small painting of Fredrick the Great by Anton Graff. His pension, which is a few hundred a month is “attached” 20%, the maximum allowed under the law as is his income from autograph signings. Attempts to confiscate “the Bunker”, his last address, fail after a judge rules it public property.

Desperate for money, Hitler signs a book deal with a major publishing house to write a “hypothetical” account of his role in the Final Solution titled “If I Gassed Them”. Outraged, Jews use their enormous media clout to have the book withdrawn from publication. The public, by and large, is sympathetic. Later however, these same folk get a judge to award them the rights to the book as part of the civil judgment against Hitler.

The book is eventually published, becomes a best seller, and earns millions. Now there are rumors that it will be made into as movie with Steven Spielberg directing. Would that be good, or bad, for revisionism?

Rich Salzer

Two clarifications in the piece you published by me in SR141: It was Robert Countess who suggested that I spell the H. word “Holocau$t”, not Robert Faurisson. And the O’Keefe I mentioned was not the Ted O’Keefe formally of IHR, but Theodore J. O’Keeffe, the late economics professor at University of Glasgow who was a big supporter of mine and who died suddenly the past spring at the age of 64.

I have a problem with my email since installing Vista in my Office program. Under certain conditions, individual emails simply vanish. I lost an email from Rich this week in which he wrote that his wife, who is Hawaiian, was in a used bookstore ten or fifteen years ago in Hawaii when she discovered a used copy of Confessions of a Holocaust Revisionist*, the first little book I did. She thought I was on to something. Still does. You just never know.*

OUR VOICES
The Human Face of Holocaust Revisionism

Paul Nemeth

The first person who put the whole holocaust story in perspective for me was Paul Rassinier, who actually spent quite a lot of time in captivity. If anyone cares to read his books he showed what life was like in those camps with all the blemishes. He pulled no punches, but he was bound and determined to set the record straight, and as far I was concerned succeeded beyond my wildest imagination. I learned things such as the actual SS Guards where not allowed inside the camp, which was run by *kapos.* The heaps of corpses the allied armies found in those camps were due to the fact that they could not be disposed of properly due to lack fuel for the cremation ovens.

I lived in Hungary in 1944 when the Germans started to pick up a large number of Hungarian Jews. I knew many of them as we lived in what was designated as a "Jewish House," which meant that if more than 50% of the tenants were Jewish, the house had to be marked with a gigantic Start of David. My friends all had to wear the Star of David on their coats or jackets showing everyone on the street that they were Jews.

There was a great deal of panic when the words got out that the Germans were collecting the Jews. There were many, many suicides, one of whom was an aunt of mine who was married to a Jewish Pharmacist. I remember how the women in my family all rushed to the hospital where they were trying save her and her husband. They both died. Unfortunately my aunt died a painful death as she did not take enough poison to kill her quickly. But for a goodly portion of the Jewish population of Budapest life went on as before. The Jew who had money managed to escape the fate that was reserved for the poorer Jews. Many took out Swedish citizenships handed out by the "hero" of the Jews Mr. Wallenberg. What I found strange was that the Germans, even though they were aware that this Swedish citizenship was a gigantic swindle, observed the legalities and left these people alone.

The reason I do not like many of Jews is because of what they did to may aunt Gyoengy after the war. As I said, we lived in a Jewish House in which only our family and the Super were Christians. The apartment being in my aunt's name, she was designated air raid warden. Her job was to make sure that everyone descended into the air raid cellar and that the gas-tight

But for a goodly portion of the Jewish population of Budapest life went on as before. The Jew who had money managed to escape the fate that was reserved for the poorer Jews.

doors were closed. (Everyone had to carry their personal gas masks at all times.)

Well, after the war, the Jewish tenants denounced my aunt to the secret police and she was questioned for hours, and made stand on one leg most of the time. And all that was done because we would not swap our apartment with a Jewish family. Let me make it quite clear. Almost all those in our house were Jews, but nobody in our house was ever pursued by the Hungarian police, or by the Germans for that matter. Nobody got ever hurt.

I have very many stories for you about the treatment of Jews in Hungary and under the Horthy regime. That did not stop my family from respecting human dignities, which was drilled into me. My father was a professional soldier but to him religion did not define a man. He did not like the Jews as a group but that did not stop him from treating Jewish individuals with kindness and compassion. When he was in command of a border regiment in Transylvania a rabbi came to him and asked for his help. The rabbi's wife was very ill and the only Jewish doctor too far away to treat her. As the man was ultra orthodox, his wife could only be treated by a Jew. Dad could have easily told the rabbi that it was none of his business and let the old woman suffer and die, but he supplied his own car and driver to take the woman to the doctor. This did not go over big with the authorities but he did not care. He was rewarded for his good deed by the rabbi giving him the special blessing that was reserved for his own son. Who knows—maybe that blessing took my father through the war in Russia.

What upset my father was exactly what upset Ernest Zundel: the blanket condemnation of the Ger-

man soldier. Although my family had been living in Hungary since the 17th century, we were originally Germans and we never forgot that. Anyway, we found it shameful the way our own governments treated our soldiers after the war. My father was proud of the fact that he never deserted his German brothers-in-arms, even under the direst circumstances, and he never forgave those who became turncoats.

What I find extremely hurtful are the lies that surround the holocaust myth. Fifty, sixty million people died in World War II and all we hear is what happened to the Jews. People keep saying that they did not know that there were KZs inside and outside of Germany. They knew of them but they knew them as prison camps which housed all the undesirables of the Reich. What they did not know was that there were gas chambers to kill those millions in the camps. Where did the thousands who arrived in Auschwitz disappear? They were shipped to other camps—Auschwitz was more than likely a DULAG (Durchgangs Lager – a Transit Camp) than a STALAG (Stamm Lager – a Base Camp).

I mentioned earlier that the Germans were keeping very meticulous records of all their doings. They did not have any reason to hide their activities. When I visited Dora, one of the camps were Paul Rassinier was kept, I saw the records which contained the most minute details of all the beatings, executions and other forms of punishments that took place in the camp, so I am sure that the same meticulous records were kept in Auschwitz and Birkenau and the other camps. Every body had to be accounted for and woe to the Camp Commander who failed in his duties. How come so little is known about Judge Morgen, who was an SS Judge who went from camp to camp to make sure that wrong doing were punished—and punished they were. Two Camp Commander were hanged for mistreating Jewish prisoners. If the point was to get rid of the Jews why punish a good officer just because he was a bit too sadistic with a Jewish inmate. According to Rassinier the Kapos (Kamp Polizei) were a sadistic bunch, who were the criminals who got looked up earlier either for crimes of having been a communist.

RAUL HILBERG DIES AT AGE 81

Holocaust Revisionism loses an authentic hero.

Bradley Smith

When I was a bookstore proprietor on Hollywood Boulevard in the early 1960s I stocked Raul Hilberg's *The Destruction of the European Jews*. I didn't read it, but I stocked it. Nice title. Hilberg didn't become a revisionist hero to me, however, until about 1988 when I learned via Robert Faurisson that Hilberg had written: "[W]hat began in 1941 was a process of destruction not planned in advance, not organized centrally by any agency. There was no blueprint and there was no budget for destructive measures. They were taken step by step, one step at a time. Thus came not so much a plan being carried out, but an incredible meeting of minds, a consensus-mind reading by a far flung bureaucracy."

Or, as Faurisson later expressed it, "Genocide by telepathy."

Anyone who reads Hilberg, knowing that his *Destruction* remains the cornerstone of scholarly work on the Holocaust, and yet remains convinced that all revisionist questioning of the Holocaust story is pointless, is most likely suffering from Germanophobia, or is (I started to write "a moron" but reined myself in because of a natural delicacy of character) an American professor.

We all know about Hilberg now, but twenty years ago most of us had no idea. I didn't. Until then I had a number of revisionist heroes, but they were all self-acknowledged revisionists. But with Hilberg's genocide-by-telepathy schtick I first realized that men I had been laughing at,

men like Yankel Wiernik, Elie Wiesel, and Abraham Bomba (the Barber of Treblinka, a man who like Mark Twain's wife when she tried to curse, liked to "sing" and knew the words but could not carry the tune), were revisionist heroes as much as Faurisson and Butz. Not for what they got right, but for what they were so willing to get so wrong and be so public and so stupid about it that anyone who was willing to look could recognize what they were doing and, chances were, would become a holocaust revisionist.

Hilberg has contributed to the totality of revisionist arguments on a much high level than guys like Bomba and Wiernik. Hilberg is serious in a way they were not. He did a lot of real work. My sense of things is that he was "sincere." The irony of his life might be that he was born into an age of immense drama, theater of the most brutal and murderous forms imaginable. Moreover, when he made his final decision to begin his work on the Holocaust story in 1948, he was only 22 years old. A precocious lad. Nevertheless, he was only a lad, and he was living with an immense drama of murder and brutality in which his "own people" were the acknowledged victims.

It occurs to me that that as a lad Hilberg was caught up in what Samuel Crowell terms the "delusion" that the Jews of Europe had been genocided by the Germans and that the roots of this genocide had been growing for decades before the war itself. Why would he not be? Everyone else was caught up in it. I think the "delusion" equation helps explain the following notice in an obituary in one German newspaper.

Süddeutsche Zeitung 08 August 2007

In his obituary for Raul Hilberg, Gustav Seibt writes: "Hilberg famously interpreted a piece of writing to which everyone can relate: the train timetable. Here the word Jew never once appears, only an ominous 'L' which signaled that the transport carriages that were so tightly packed on the outward journey would be 'leer' or empty on returning. This 'L' contains the precise amount of explicitness allowed by bureaucratic form of expression, but also guaranteed by it. Hilberg remained concrete to the last, obsessed by detail and relentlessly precise."

This "L" business has been addressed by a number of revisionists. I'm not an expert on it. I think

As Sam Crowell has it in *The Gas Chamber of Sherlock Holmes:* "The gassing claim of the Holocaust derives from a complex of delusion and censorship. We are now in a position to encapsulate how each tendencies reinforced the other.

it is generally agreed that what Hilberg has documented is pretty much on track (no pun intended) but that he did not finish the job, and that his conclusions don't make sense. Example: If Hilberg "remained concrete to the last, obsessed by detail and relentlessly precise," how could he write: "[W]hat began in 1941 was a process of destruction not planned in advance, not organized centrally by any agency. There was no blueprint and there was no budget for destructive measures. They were taken step by step, one step at a time. Thus came not so much a plan being carried out, but an incredible meeting of minds, a consensus-mind reading by a far flung bureaucracy." Not explicit, not precise, not concrete, no detail—but relentless in forwarding the delusion that goes to the "unique monstrosity" of the Germans.

As Sam Crowell has it in *The Gas Chamber of Sherlock Holmes:* "The gassing claim of the Holocaust derives from a complex of delusion and censorship. We are now in a position to encapsulate how each tendencies reinforced the other. The gassing legend seemed to have been endemic in Europe for several years before the outbreak of World War Two. At that time, and in conjunction with the National Socialist euthanasia program, conducted in secret, the rumor of gassing developed more widely. Once the Germans began large-scale deportations in the spring of 1942, the typical disinfection rumors arose, as they had in previous decades, but this time they tended to focus on the gassing claim. These rumors passed through the BBC, which gave the rumors authority, and in turn created the feedback loop for their further development. In this respect the growth of the gassing rumors should be distinguished from such phenomena as the War of the Worlds panic, because in the latter case official denunciation of the claim was immediate. But in this case there were no official pronouncements about the extermination rumors at all, but simply the repetition of these claims."

Still, we want (always, always) to give the devil his due

Hilberg was deluded about how the Germans wanted to kill all the Jews on the planet, but he was more objective about the behavior of some Jews. Following are excerpts from interviews Hilberg gave to the press about Norman Finkelstein`s *The Holocaust Industry*. I have gotten the following from Finkelstein`s Webpage.

Thank you, Norman.

***Valor* (Brazil)**

To say that the Holocaust has been used in order to secure Palestine for the Jews is nothing new and we know how important it was in the creation of Israel. Nevertheless it will be a bitter yet necessary reminder to the community.

I agree with [Finkelstein] that people overestimate the number of survivors and that the concept itself is ill-defined—it includes not only the victims of the camps—and it is true that there are an exaggerated number of compensation requests made.

There is something radically wrong in this exploitation because it is an issue that should not be used to make money and I must confess that I found the whole affair with the Swiss banks disturbing. The Jewish-American community is very prosperous and there is no reason for them to ask the Swiss for money. That seems obscene to me.

Swiss National Radio (SBC-SSR)

I would in substance agree with what [Finkelstein] says because I have said much the same things myself and the methods of the World Jewish Congress and some other organizations or people allied with it in his campaign I feel are detestable. I don't subscribe to them.

Well, even if [anti-Semites] do use it in that fashion, I'm afraid that when it comes to the truth, it has to be said openly, without regard to any consequences that would be undesirable, embarrassing. The fact is that we have now crossed a line, we have seen an action that I personally cannot defend in terms of the tactics and also of the sums of money involved in the claims against not only the Swiss Banks but now extensively in other matters as well.

***Berliner Zeitung,* by Eva Schweitzer**

Yes, because it is held against him that he compares the fate of the Jews with the Palestinians, who were expelled by the Israelis. American Jews can't stand that. In addition, he wrote the book, *A Nation on Trial* (together with Ruth Bettina Birn), in which Daniel Goldhagen's book, *Hitler's Willing Executioners,* is criticized. Goldhagen is very popular in the US, even though his scholarly standard is at the level of 1946.

The American Jewish community is the wealthiest and most successful in the world. Already ten years ago there were 18 Jewish billionaires, now there are many more. One of them is Edgar Bronfman, president of the World Jewish Congress (WJC) and one of the main shareholders of Seagrams. These people could put an end to poverty among Holocaust survivors within one week.

Today there are hundreds of courses on the Holocaust in the US. And when scholars want to get a teaching position or publish a book, it works best if the topic is the Holocaust. The same is true for newspapers.

Many intellectuals in the US use the Holocaust to get positions at universities or museums. The German Studies Association—an association of American scholars specializing in German culture—has been around for decades. But students were not interested in post-war Germany. Only when the Holocaust was discovered as a topic—this happened 15, 20 years ago—did the association begin to blossom. Today there are hundreds of courses on the Holocaust in the US. And when scholars want to get a teaching position or publish a book, it works best if the topic is the Holocaust. The same is true for newspapers. If I am listening to "Deutsche Welle" [a German radio program], I experience a totally different Germany than when I am reading the *New York Times.*

During the Cold War the US had to show consideration for its allies. For this reason, no questions concerning Turkish collaboration with the Nazis are raised to this day—because Turkey is an important ally of the US and Israel. But in Europe Jewish organizations can nowadays collect money without jeopardizing the security of the US. I personally would like to know why the WJC has hardly put any pressure on Austria, even as leading Nazis and SS leaders were Austrians, Hitler included.

Immediately after the war, the US wanted to make the Russians withdraw from Austria, and the Russians wanted to keep Austria neutral, therefore there was a common interest to grant Austria victim status. And later Austria could cry poor—though its per capita income is as high as Germany's. And, most importantly, the Austrian PR machinery works better. Austria has the opera ball, the imperial castle, Mozartkugeln [a chocolate]. Americans like that. And Austrians invest and export relatively little to the US, therefore they are less vulnerable to blackmail.

First of all, it is difficult to define who counts as a Holocaust survivor. Somebody who was in Auschwitz or hiding in the woods counts. But somebody who was living undetected in a Paris apartment or was married to a non-Jew? Or somebody who could immigrate to the US? I would not think so.

[Quality control is missing in Holocaust studies] in respect to several of the elite universities. This is the only reason why Gold-

hagen could obtain a PhD in political science at Harvard. There was nobody on the faculty who could have checked his work.

A New Interview in *Le Monde*

Finkelstein effectively asks: What have American Jews made of the Holocaust? And he answers: a systematically bad usage, as much on the part of Jewish organizations as Jewish intellectuals. He qualifies as sterile the argument on the "uniqueness" of the Holocaust, and implicitly rejects the idea that Israel and the Jewish community at large can count on the Holocaust to serve such and such privilege, such and such function.

Of course, let us not forget that the creation of Israel and the mindset of Jews generally are inseparable from the traumatic experience of Nazism. If non-Jews can be sickened by paranoia, for Jews it is a remedy.

The political power finally obtained by the Jews can create a delicate situation. The non-Jewish world is poorly equipped to counter Jewish demands for reparations. And facing pressure, it makes concessions beyond the limits of justice. For example, let us note the grossness of the offensive undertaken by American Jews against the Swiss banks—among other European economic targets. The self-appointed commander-in-chief of this Holocaust industry operation is Edgar Bronfman of Seagram, the empire of alcoholic drinks. He designated himself leader of the Jewish world, including the Jewish dead, who can't voice their opinion. He openly declared that the Swiss banks lacked integrity, and mobilized the U.S. Congress and other high-ranking officials to attain his goals.

The Swiss banks agreed to pay $1.25 billion, that is, $125 million at the 1945 rate. Did the banks really owe this colossal sum of money? Was world Jewry so rich at the end of the Depression for such an amount of money to be left sitting in Swiss bank accounts? Hard to believe.

Moreover, close to $900 million will be paid by German funds for former Jewish slave-laborers. Each recipient will receive around $7,500. This would mean that 125,000 former Jewish slave-laborers, about half of those who survived, would still be alive. Is this a realistic calculation? *Is it even a calculation?* Could 240,000 Jews have actually survived the brutality of the German camps? Probably not. Nonetheless such amounts of money are today being extorted by *a Jewish leadership that is as furious as it is ignorant* [emphasis above added]..

Let's give the fellow his due. He was sincere, he worked hard all his life, he suffered under a terrible historical delusion, but he did not support the ugly work of greed and aggrandizement as did the WJC and its clone organizations.

Fritz Berg, Continued from page one

operated in a closed place will stall out because of the much higher level of oxygen it needs for combustion.... thus the CO levels can never reach serious lethal amounts. The continued insistence on the use of diesel engines makes the hollowcost claims even more absurd than they already are.

Carto's Cutlass Supreme

Yes, but the alleged gas chambers didn't recycle the air, and in this Flanagan paper, the air isn't recycled either. The man was in a forest, not in a garage.

Erwachtist

I realized that the "gassings" were alleged to be diesel exhaust vented into the chambers, in which case a lethal level would not be soon possible, if at all; they'd probably die of starvation first. The matter of this man being in the forest escaped me, I guess more details would be needed of the mechanics involved.

However, the absurdity still rests in the fact that diesel exhaust is claimed to be used instead of the engines most capable of producing CO. Although the time factor raises some interesting questions about the expediency of using exhaust under any circumstances.

Friedrich Paul Berg

There are some additional points I want to make for the highly intelligent audience here at this forum. The death described by Flanagan took far longer than predicted by expert toxicologists Henderson and Haggard in their classic book *Noxious Gases* (1943). There it was predicted that 0.4% CO would cause death in less than one hour.

Using the commonly accepted rule of thumb that is sometimes known as "Henderson's Rule," that would mean that death in half-an-hour would require 0.8% CO (double the amount for one hour) and 1.6% for only fifteen minutes (double again). The remarkable fact about the Flanagan case is that the victim was exposed to an average CO concentration of 2.5% for 20 minutes and was still alive at the end of that period. In other words, my earlier analysis based on Henderson and Haggard which appeared in my essay "Diesel Gas Chambers" in 1984 was far too conservative. No doubt, some

people would have died but many would have survived and, therefore, the Gerstein scenario would have been totally ridiculous. The alleged diesel mass murders at Treblinka, Belzec and Sobibor never happened!

Another classic piece of expert literature is Von Oettingen, *Carbon Monoxide: Its Hazards and the Mechanism of Its Action,* U.S. Public Health Service, Bulletin 290. There we are told that CO concentrations from 0.50 to 1.00 would cause carboxyhemoglobin levels of from 73% to 76% in 2-15 minutes. That would correspond to almost certain death. And yet, in the Flanagan case with more than double the CO concentration, the victim was still alive at twenty minutes into the gassing.

One should also bear in mind that in the Flanagan case, the victim was trying to kill himself and was not holding his breath, as far as anyone can tell. That would have prolonged his death even more. Jews in a gas chamber would have almost certainly been holding their breaths as soon as they realized they might be gassed.

The diesel mass murder allegation is being grudgingly abandoned by Nizkor and a group around Sergey Romanov in favor of a new theory that gasoline exhaust was used instead. If gasoline engine gassings had happened, the corpses would have appeared "red," or "cherry-red," or "bright red" because of the high CO levels. Those are the characteristic indications of fatal CO poisoning. Non-fatal instances of CO poisoning may appear bluish, or with a pallor—but fatal cases are red with few exceptions. That is extremely important because nearly all of the so-called "eyewitnesses" to such holocaust gassings have consistently claimed that the dead victims were "blue" or "bluish." That never happened. The exterminationist case is trapped in a quagmire of lies. Tweaking the story, does not help the exterminationist case. It never happened!

Carto's Cutlass Supreme

It's something to see the evolution of the story as a result of Berg:

First the believers try to go with the idea that restricting air intake would make higher CO. Of course restricting air intake chokes the engine also.

When the modified diesel engine is just a losing debate, then they start to move to a gasoline engine.

Berg single-handedly forced them to modify their story when they couldn't modify the engine.

Not to mention Berg likely having a lot to do with saving Ivan Demjanjuk by calling attention of Pat Buchanan about toxicity of diesel. See the Wikipedia entry for Pat Buchanan and see Berg's influence on saving Demjanjuk, though Berg isn't actually mentioned.

Hannover

Fritz Berg is as good a man as there is. He has helped save an innocent man's life from the fraud that is the 'holocaust'. He has exposed judeo-supremacism for the evil that it is.

And then I want to add this:

Berg wrote: The diesel mass murder allegation is being grudgingly abandoned by Nizkor and a group around Sergey Romanov in favor of a new theory that gasoline exhaust was used instead.

Yes, that would be the 'Sergey Romanov' who has been trounced at this forum. I invite our readers here to read his laughable attempts to buttress the impossible 'holocaust' storyline. Judeo-supremacists are a day late and a buck short, they are stuck with another of their absurd lies, and as a result have been hoisted by their own petard.

In discussing the Gerstein 'statements'; Leon Poliakov, who is a French-speaking Jewish 'historian', said: "there is little to add to this description from Diesel motors as death agents."

According to Poliakov, more than a million and a half people were killed with Diesel exhaust (fn. 10). For more on the alleged 'diesel gassings' and why Yad Vashem would attempt to switch: http://www.codoh.info/gcgv/gcdiesel.html. And in the German edition of *NS-Massentoetungen* by Kogon, Langbein, Rueckerl and others from 1983, we have the following text on page 173:

"Der Motor selbst befand sich nicht in einem besonderen Raum, sondern stand offen etwas erhoeht auf einem Podium. Er wurde mit Dieselkraftstoff betrieben."

The English translation which appears in Kogon (1993) says on page 130: "The engine itself was not in a separate room but stood in the open, raised on a platform. It was a diesel engine." Dieselkraftstoff translates to "diesel fuel" and not to "diesel engine." But that is minor. [Diesel fuel cannot be used in a gasoline motor.]

What is truly funny is the idea that the Nazis would mount their poison-gas-generating diesel on a podium or platform in the open without any enclosure or cover. That is truly brazen in a country that is notorious for harsh winters and rain. But of course, the Nazis wanted everyone to get a good look at their homicidal diesel, right? And as the diesel got up to speed and ran for half-an-hour at a time, that wooden podium or platform would have been so much fun to watch as the diesel vibrations tore it to pieces.

But here is an even bigger problem, as I see it—there is no

mention of anything being attached to the diesel engine. There is no mention of any kind of pump, or propeller, or electric generator being attached to the diesel to provide the LOAD needed to cause the diesel to produce toxic exhaust. Any likely loading device or arrangement would have been as noticeable as the diesel itself since it would have also been rather heavy and bulky. A pump or generator would have also had to be carefully aligned with the diesel on a rigid base or else the vibrations would have been even more spectacular than those from the diesel working alone.

Jnovitz

Perhaps they will have to revert to death by oxygen depletion (which is what Professor Pfannenstiel claimed in his testimony regarding blue coloring).

CASE REPORT

Suicidal Asphyxiation by Inhalation of Automobile Emission without Carbon Monoxide Poisoning

Stephen J. deRoux, M.D., Office of Chief Medical Examiner of the City of New York, Department of Forensic Medicine, New York University School of Medicine, New York, NY 10016.

Additional information and reprint requests:

Stephen J. deRoux, M.D.

Office of Chief Medical Examiner

520 First Avenue

New York, NY 10016

npmd@comcast.net

ABSTRACT: Reported herein is the suicidal asphyxiation of a young man due to exhaustion of oxygen in the interior of a sealed automobile into which the exhaust emissions were diverted. His blood carboxyhemoglobin concentration was less than 5% saturation. The car was equipped with a catalytic converter and when tested, the exhaust carbon monoxide concentration was 0.01%.

Friedrich Paul Berg

In reply to Jnovitz I just want to point out that any diesel would have normally exhausted more than enough oxygen to sustain life indefinitely. Diesel exhaust from any idling diesel in an open space contains about 16% oxygen. That level of oxygen is not even considered harmful.

The dangers become serious, however, if the diesel is in an enclosed space with people breathing and rebreathing the exhaust because, in such a scenario, the exhaust will pass through the engine again and again until so much oxygen is consumed that the engine stalls. The death of any trapped persons may then occur before the engine stalls. But nothing like that has ever been alleged for any of the Nazi diesel gas chambers. There is, for example, no mention of any diesel stalling. Supposedly, they sometimes had trouble starting the engine—but that is it.

Friedrich Paul Berg

Learn everything at

www.nazigassings.com

Why I Must Rewrite Everything I Have Written about Diesel Gas Chambers

by Friedrich Paul Berg

Until a few weeks ago I had believed that one could have committed mass murder with diesel exhaust if the diesel engines had been connected to some kind of heavy load. But now, even that possibility is no longer valid and it is now safe to say that the diesel gas chamber claims have absolutely no merit at all—even if the diesel engine had operated at maximum load.

The one remaining possibility is that the diesel might have been overloaded. But that would have meant the engine would have been smoking, literally—and, in effect, producing so much solid material that some solid buildup would be occurring inside the cylinders and requiring frequent engine overhaul, and probably replacement as well. An extraordinary case of suicide with gasoline engine exhaust reveals that even with gasoline engine exhaust, death is far from quick. But how quick?

A British essay by Flanagan et al examines the case of a 36-year-old man in good physical health who had a tape recorder running during his suicide. The tape-recording provided that rarest kind of information about any automotive suicide—kla timeline. The last sounds recorded occurred twenty minutes after the victim turned his car's gasoline engine on. A reconstruction of the suicide showed that the CO levels had increased steadily over that period reaching nearly 5% before the victim ceased making any sounds. Death came even later. .

If the engine had been a diesel running under a heavy load, the maximum CO concentration would have been only 0.5% (only 1/10th

the concentration of the Flanagan case). If the engine had been a diesel running only at idle—which is the most likely comparable running condition—the CO concentration would have been less than 0.1% (only 1/50th of the Flanagan case). What it all means is that death from diesel exhaust would have taken far, far longer than the 32 minutes claimed by Kurt Gerstein and every other self-proclaimed "eyewitness" to such alleged diesel gassings.

All of those "eyewitnesses" are liars. Nearly 2 million Jews had supposedly been murdered at Treblinka, Belzec, Sobibor and in the so-called "gas vans" with diesel exhaust. The evidence shows that those alleged gassings never happened because they were technically impossible. Roughly 2/3 of all alleged Nazi gassings were supposedly carried with diesel exhaust—and so the emerging evidence is enormously important. The Flanagan et al essay with its invaluable timeline appears at

http://p102.ezboard.com/frodohforumfrm12.showMessage?topicID=312.topic

The death described by Flanagan et al took far longer than predicted by expert toxicologists such as Henderson and Haggard in their classic book *Noxious Gases* (1943). There it was predicted that 0.4% CO would cause death in less than one hour.

Using the commonly accepted rule of thumb that is sometimes known as "Henderson's Rule," that would mean that death in half-an-hour would require 0.8% CO (double the amount for one hour) and 1.6% for only fifteen minutes (double again). The remarkable fact about the Flanagan case is that the victim was exposed to an average CO concentration of 2.5% for 20 minutes and was still alive at the end of that period. In other words, my earlier analysis based on Henderson and Haggard which appeared in my essay "Diesel Gas Chambers" in 1984 was far too conservative. No doubt, some people would have died but many would have survived and, therefore, the Gerstein scenario would have been totally ridiculous. The alleged diesel mass murders at Treblinka, Belzec and Sobibor never happened!

Another classic piece of expert literature is Von Oettingen, *Carbon Monoxide: Its Hazards and the Mechanism of Its Action,* U.S. Public Health Service, Bulletin 290. There we are told that CO concentrations from 0.50 to 1.00 would cause carboxyhemoglobin levels of from 73% to 76% in 2-15 minutes. That would correspond to almost certain death. And yet, in the Flanagan case with more than double the CO concentration, the victim was still alive at twenty minutes into the gassing.

One should also bear in mind that in the Flanagan case, the victim was trying to kill himself and was not holding his breath, as far as anyone can tell. That would have prolonged his death even more. Jews in a gas chamber would have almost certainly been holding their breaths as soon as they realized they might be gassed.

The diesel mass-murder allegation is being grudgingly abandoned by Nizkor exterminationists and a group around Sergey Romanov in favor of a new theory that gasoline exhaust was used instead. If gasoline engine gassings had happened, the corpses would have appeared "red," or "cherry-red," or "bright red" because of the high CO levels. Those are the characteristic indications of fatal CO poisoning. Non-fatal instances of CO poisoning may appear bluish, or with a pallor—but fatal cases are red with few exceptions. That is extremely important because nearly all of the so-called "eyewitnesses" to such holocaust gassings have consistently claimed that the dead victims were "blue" or "bluish." That never happened.

The exterminationist case is trapped in a quagmire of lies. Tweaking the story, does not help the exterminationist case. It never happened!

The Holocaust Ideology: A Threat to Human Life

Paul Grubach

Editor's note: The following article, written shortly after the Persian Gulf War of 1991, originally appeared in the now-defunct, Holocaust revisionist periodicals, *Remarks*, Issue # 7, July-August 1991, p.9, and *The Spotlight*, 20 January 1992, p. 4. Today, sixteen years after it was first published, it is more relevant than when it was first written. In a direct, literal sense, the Holocaust ideology has become a threat to human life. For this reason alone, a policy of subjecting it to intense scrutiny is wholly justified. In order to clarify this, consider the following.

The efforts of a pro-Zionist, Jewish member of Congress, Stephen Solarz (D-N.Y.), were crucial in getting the United States involved in the Persian Gulf War. He was a co-sponsor of the bipartisan resolution authorizing the use of military force in the Gulf. Concerning his motivations and "justifications" for his hawkish behavior, he made the following admissions.

In a speech at Georgetown University on January 17, 1991, one day after the U.S. air strikes began against Iraqi targets, Solarz said: "Enough Jews have been gassed in our century. For that reason alone our [military] strike last night [against Iraq] was justified."1

Then, in May, at the 85th Annual Dinner of the American Jewish Committee, he again admitted the Holocaust doctrine was the ideological driving force behind his pro-war activism. He requested that Congress authorize the use of force against Iraq because "I [Solarz] was overwhelmed by the thought of six million Jews killed in the Holocaust."2

The important point here is this. The very idea of the "six million murdered Jews" motivated Congressman Solarz to co-sponsor the resolution in Congress sanctioning the use of force against Iraq. The end result? American troops were sent to the Gulf where a number of them along with thousands of Arabs met their deaths. The Holocaust ideology has been used (and will be used for the foreseeable future) to promote war policies that culminate in the loss of life. In this sense, it is a real threat to human life. For this reason alone it should be subjected to intense scrutiny.

If we Americans are going to send our soldiers off to die on foreign soil, then we have the moral duty to publicly scrutinize all of those doctrines which are used to promote and "justify" such actions.

The reader should ask: "What if the popular view of the Holocaust is a hoax?" Then, we have a false doctrine, a myth, a lie, which is being used to promote and "justify" pro-war policies that culminate in death and suffering for thousands of people—an intolerable situation indeed. And even if the Holocaust doctrine is true, why should Americans have to shed their blood for Jews in Israel? Let the Israeli Jews tend to their own problems.

Let me put this in the starkest and ugliest light: I am not sure, but I believe, that if the choice were between the survival of Israel and that of the remaining 4 or 6 billion peoples of the world, I would choose the 4 million [Jews in Israel]."3

And yet, there is even a more outrageous example of how the "Holocaust" doctrine is a threat to all life on earth. A book published in 1989, *Testimony: Contemporary Writers Make the Holocaust Personal*, is a landmark volume in which contemporary Jewish novelists, essayists, and poets tell how the Holocaust ideology has affected their lives and thinking. The testimony of the award-winning writer Jane De Lynn is quite shocking. She wrote: "Although in general I believe in nuclear disarmament, I am GLAD Israel has the atomic bomb, and the continued existence of Israel is the only cause for which I consider it justifiable to use nuclear weapons. Let me put this in the starkest and ugliest light: I am not sure, but I believe, that if the choice were between the survival of Israel and that of the remaining 4 or 6 billion peoples of the world, I would choose the 4 million [Jews in Israel]."3

Get the picture? Because "six million Jewish lives were lost in the Holocaust," nuclear destruction of the non-Jewish, Gentile world may be preferable and justifiable in order to save Jewish Israel!!!

The reader should keep in mind that Ms. De Lynn is not some insane derelict whom everybody ignores. She is a prominent writer who commands a respectful hearing in Jewish and Gentile communities. How many other Jews in powerful places have beliefs similar to hers? The sheer danger of such thinking should be intuitively obvious.

The only way to expose and refute such thinking is by education and public debate. In a word, by showing the American people how false the orthodox view of the Holocaust really is.

Historical Revisionism. Now more than ever!

Footnotes

1. See William Bole, "Congressman vs. Ethicists: Debating War's Morality as Battle Rages," *Christian News*, 28 January 1991, p. 6. This was a Religious News Service article that presumably was published in many other periodicals across the United States.

2. See Darrell Turner, "Remembering Six Million Jews Killed in the Holocaust: Jewish Group Honors Cheney and Solarz for Roles in Gulf War," *Christian News*, 13 May 1991, p. 23. This was another Religious News Service article that presumably was published in many periodicals across in the United States.

3. *Testimony: Contemporary Writers Make the Holocaust Personal,* edited by David Rosenberg (New York Times Books/Random House, 1989), p. 65.

"Some days you're the bug; some days you're the windshield."

IN THE NEWS

Special Web Report from Legalienate.blogspot.com

Martin Bohrman Alive!
Simon Wiesenthal Center Tracks Down Hitler's Private Secretary Posing as ADL chief Abraham Foxman

Bohrman says assumed identity fomented anti-Semitism "beyond the Fuehrer's wildest dreams" Abraham Foxman never existed, thereby proving theories entertained by those who said "this guy can't be real." The ADL closes its doors, bank accounts and phone lines. Bohrman says obnoxious Foxman character was found believable, thereby proving his own innocence. Israel charges identity genocide. Liberals remain dumb, conservatives stay deaf, rest of congress continues blind.

Southern Poverty Law center begins new fund raising drive to stop Master Race from masquerading as Chosen People. Dershowitz to prosecute Bohrman and defend Foxman on grounds of diminished capacity and suffering caused by painful confusion over identical last syllable of names. Holocaust industry shares drop. Market consumed by hysteria. Consumers gripped by fear. Bush calls for war on mental terror, anti-Semitism and Jimmy Carter.

Legalienate was able to obtain this brief interview with Martin Bohrman.

Legalienate: How were you able to maintain your disguise?

Bohrman: It wasn't easy. Sometimes I tired of being a Nazi, but my best protection was to continually promote Jewish supremacy, which bred the anti-Semitic backlash I live for.

Legalienate: Did anyone ever suspect your real identity?

Bohrman: Only once, when I compared Elie Wiesel to Pinocchio.

Legalienate: What's been the general reaction to your "outing"?

Bohrman: Shock, disbelief, hysteria, offers to do a book, movie and a TV series, and a Hollywood proposal to nominate me for an Academy Award.

Legalienate: What are your current plans?

Bohrman: I want to found a Holocaust Museum in Mecca.

Legalienate: Anything else?

Bohrman: I'd like to complete my 64th edition of "The New Anti-Semitism."

Legalienate: Any advice for young people?

Bohrman: You can never have enough enemies. Dream big, blame others for your own faults, and cash in.

Legalienate: Thank you Mr. Bohrman. Now, please stay tuned for further details at six, following televised executions of Holocaust Deniers and Barry Bonds. http://legalalienate.blogspot.com/

(Thanks to Frank Scott for this.)

The Jewish Advocate informs us that committees in the U.S. House of Representatives and Senate are reviewing the "Simon Wiesenthal Holocaust Education Assistance Act."

If it passes the Feds will distribute $10 million to "select organizations" (CODOH?) to be used to develop Holocaust curriculum guides and training for teachers.

Rosian Zerner, a Holocaust survivor from Lithuania, is pushing the bill. He says Holocaust education it is necessary because "there are **so many Holocaust deniers**." Congressman Barney Frank (D-Mass.) decided earlier this month to become a co-sponsor of the bill. Frank is "doing this for the world."

U.S. Senator Robert Menendez, who is the author of the bill in the Senate, told the Advocate in an e-mail that the Holocaust Education Assistance Act is needed now more than ever because there are **some who still deny "the Holocaust's very existence**."

So it's our fault. I can accept that.

In the *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung* German writer Reinhard Kaiser questions the logic behind "memorial laws" that make denial of the Holocaust or genocide a punishable offence.

"The credibility of the Holocaust suffers when not believing it becomes taboo. It could be that in the not too distant future the credibility of the Holocaust [will] diminish further—with the passage of time, with the death of survivors who can give living testimony, with the fading of memories that are passed on from one generation to the next, with the integration of a growing number of people from countries with different cultural and historical backgrounds. Educating people about it will become increasingly necessary and difficult.

"Perhaps it would be better to allow ourselves the freedom to abandon the regulations governing the denial and trivialization of the Holocaust and follow the examples of Great Britain and the United States, where there have never been such laws, instead of generalizing them in the form of some European framework agreement on how to deal with controversial chapters of history."

This in a major German daily. Nice, eh?

Abraham Foxman, maximum leader of the ADL, has been accused of engaging in "genocide denial" by Sharistan Melkonian—chairwoman of the Armenian National Committee of Eastern Massachusetts. The story was reported in the *Boston Globe.*

In a separate interview, Foxman maintained his position that the ADL does not have a role in the dispute between the Armenians and the Turks. "We're not party to this, and I don't understand why we need to be made party," Foxman said.

Foxman said he is surprised that he has become a target of Armenians. The ADL has no official position on the Armenian genocide. "I'm not going to be the arbiter of someone else's history," he said in the interview, adding that he does not believe that Congress should either. When asked specifically if what happened to Armenians under the Ottoman Empire was genocide, he replied, "I don't know."

The ADL only takes positions, he said, on current events, not on something that happened in the past.

Like, if we argue today that the Turks did not genocide the Armenians, the ADL on principle has no official position on the matter. Like if we argue today that the Germans did not genocide the Jews, the ADL has no official position on the matter.

So long as Abraham Foxman is consistent in principle, we will continue to honor him.

(JTA) A newly discovered box of Hitler's records included music by Jewish composers or played by Jewish musicians.

Kept in a box for 62 years in the attic of a dacha near Moscow, the collection of gramophone discs had been taken from Hitler's Wilhelmstrasse bunker in Berlin by a Red Army reconnaissance officer, Capt. Lev Besymenski. Besymenski, who died this summer at the age of 86, was Jewish. After his death, his daughter Alexandra brought the box of some 100 LPs to Germany's Spiegel magazine.

Hitler's collection included works by the Russian composers Borodin, Rachmaninov and Mussorgski. In one of Hitler's albums, the famous Polish Jewish violinist Bronislaw Huberman played works by Tschaikovsky. This has surprised historians, since Huberman, who fled Vienna in 1937, a year before the Anschluss, had been declared an enemy of the Third Reich. Hitler wrote in Mein Kampf that Jewish art "never existed."

Alexandra Besymenski said her father had told her that in May 1945 he and his comrades had been dispatched to take an inventory of objects in Hitler's bunker and the chancellery, which lay in ruins. While others collected silverware engraved with the initials "AH," he took albums from Hitler's collection, which he found in numbered boxes, packed for delivery to the Eagle's Nest headquarters in Berchtesgaden.

Besymenski said her father had explained that while he had played some of the records for friends in the early years after the war, he later decided to stow them away because he did not want to be considered a looter.

I think this makes it rather clear. If Hitler listened to a fiddle being sawed by a Jew to music written by another Jew, the Holocaust did not happen and we can all go home. Thank G-d it's over.

Fragments from the Journal of a Holocaust Revisionist

Bradley Smith

*** Domingo is a left-wing intellectual, a professor who gave up teaching for selling art, an encyclopedia of information about cinema, a family man who takes his responsibilities for family seriously no matter how complicated they might be. I try to get to his office once a week or so to chat him up. Oftentimes we talk about issues associated with how Latin America can protect itself from American imperialism. We are agreed—not easily. The other day when I walked in he had been reading *Smith's Report* and laughing at the troubles I am making, and the troubles others are making for me.

He greeted me warmly. "You are a plague, Senor. Oh yes. Everyone sees you as a plague. How does it feel? " He was laughing and shaking my hand enthusiastically. "You are a walking plague."

*** Early evening. Out walking when I run into Michael, an American drunk who has been living on the street down here for the last year or so. He looked terrible. He always looks terrible, but now it was worse. He's in his forties I suppose, a bit smaller than me, and when he can talk he is always rational, and to the point. Today he was especially filthy, and he's growing a blondish beard. There is

no evasiveness in him. I have offered to get him into rehab, but it's not for him. He says you have to "be ready" to go into rehab. He's been there, done that.

We chatted for a few minutes. When I ask him where he's sleeping these days he says, "Anywhere I can find a ditch."

How is he?

"I'm higher than a kite." He draws back his filthy jacket and I see the half-empty pint in the inside pocket. "I'm well-fed," he says, "and well watered.

There is something wrong with his face but I can't make out what. It's his left eye. It stays closed.

"What's the matter with the eye, Michael?"

"What eye?"

"The one you can't open."

He uses both hands to open the eye for me. The fingers on one hand pull the eyelid up, those on the other hand pull the cheek down. There's nothing there. There's no eye. There's no hole. Just meat.

"Jesus, Michael, what's with the eye?"

"Cancer. I went to the other side and they took it out."

"The eye? What happened with the cancer?"

"They took it out?"

"Are you on medication?"

He pats his jacket pocket. "I've been on medication ten years now."

I take out a business card and write my Mexican telephone number on the back.

"Oh, yes. The intellectual."

"You call me if I can help."

"You're a good man."

"Uh huh."

"You got a dollar you can give me?"

"Yeah." I give him a dollar bill. Then I pull out a 20-peso bill and give him that. "That's worth about two dollars."

"I know what it's worth. You're a good man."

The moment the two bills are in his hand he walks off. Tonight, well watered and perhaps well fed, Michal will find a ditch someplace.

*** I'm reading the Tao Te Ching with an introduction and commentaries by Chang Chung-yuan. I don't know who Chung-yuan is, and in his book he doesn't say. Very Tao. I started reading it in 1977 in Hollywood.

I'm taken by the fact that Lao Tzu was born about 560 BCE. The sophistication of the text, even in translation, is remarkable. The fifth and sixth centuries BCE revealed a remarkable ability to think and to put the thinking in writing, from East to Middle East, or where the people were. How many centuries, how many thousands and tens of thousands of years were necessary to develop the language necessary to express such subtleties? Could men living in unimaginably primitive conditions a couple hundred thousand years ago like so many wolves think with an abstraction and beauty that resembles a Lao Tzu? How did it all come about? I don't get it.

Late this afternoon I take Chung-yuan's book and start out for a walk. A fine, warm, breezy evening. By the time I get to the corner I'm hurting all over and decide it's the wrong afternoon. Around the corner there is a little café with a counter and stools outside in a dirt yard. I take a stool at the counter and order a Negra Modelo and a couple fish tacos and settle down to read.

On page 191 I read: "Heaven and earth are not benevolent. They treat ten-thousand things indifferently." Memory recalls the images of Dafur, Iraq, Palestine, Afghanistan that I have seen on the television. Thought moves quickly to identify heaven and earth with the concept of God and considers for a moment, and then a bit longer, what appears to be His indifference to all of it.

While I read, the setting sun shines its light on my back and over the right shoulder. It lights up the old brown pages of the little Perennial paperback book I bought in Hollywood thirty years ago. At one point, pausing to pour beer into my glass, I look up the street and see that while the air is still full of sunlight, some drivers have already turned on their headlights and I watch the lights pass by the trunks of the young palm trees planted in the center divide of the street. The pattern of the staccato movement of the lights passing through the tree trucks is absorbing and very beautiful.

*** Chang-yuan makes a number of interesting references to Heidegger, a fellow I have not read. I recall the scandal a few years ago about his connections with the N.S. regime. Chang-yuan writes: "What Heidegger refers to as the truth of being is the origin of beauty, which is not beauty itself. It is to this origin of beauty that Taoist philosophers lead. Lao Tzu says: "When words express truth, they are not refined. When words are refined, they do not express truth."

I read this several times before I get it. Then it's obvious. The part is not the whole.

*** Wasting time, channel surfing. Bill Gates says he never watches television. I contemplate his position in life, and I contemplate mine. I continue surfing.

*** I have been writing about the "irrational vocabulary" that the American professorial class uses with regard to the Holocaust question. I used it in Tehran, in Otay Mesa, and in *Smith's Report.* Chicago Bill convinced me that the

vocabulary in question is not irrational at all, it achieves precisely what it is meant to achieve, the taboo that prevents an open debate on the H. story. He convinced me that the vocabulary the professors use is "immoral," because it is not fair. If it is not fair, it cannot be moral. And now O'Keefe has come forward with the observation that I am forgetting those who administer the universities—those who employ and manage the professors. Yes, I will have to take those in administration seriously. It was the administration at St Paul recently that denied tenure to Professor Norman Finkelstein as punishment for his *The Holocaust Industry* and other writings of his on the same matters. It wasn't the professors who got rid of him, but those who administer the professors.

*** Reading Chang-yuan when he references Heidegger's *On the Way to Language*, a book I am not familiar with. Whenever I hear Heidegger's name I am reminded that he was condemned a few years ago for not having been entirely opposed to German National Socialism. I don't think he is much referenced any longer. He has been "shamed." While thought reviews that memory, it volunteers the idea that "Palestine is the shame of the Jews." How was the connection made? The word "shame." Thought doesn't like the sound of it. Ever inventive, after a moment it corrects the words to read: "The Israeli State is the shame of the Jews."

*** I have to solicit new subscribers to *Smith's Report,* and encourage some number of them to become contributors. At the same time, it is remarkable what one man (or woman) can do by helping fund a project like this. We need an infinitesimally small percentage of the market for a revisionist project to be financially sound and make a tremendous splash. It takes money to get the project out into the public eye, and it takes money to keep it there. Not a lot. Throughout the 1990s one supporter carried the bulk of the Campus Project.

One supporter.

*** Sunday morning, watching the Chris Mathews show. Hussein B. Obama (as Ann Coulter has it) says as President he would talk with people like Chavez, Castro, and Ahmadinejad. It is pointed out that these guys are tyrants and killers, and that Ahmadinejad is a "Holocaust denier." Matthews laughs. Holocaust denial has come into the Presidential campaign.

*** Brad Rader invited me to lunch in the new seafood place on the Boulevard, The Lobster Shack. Wonderfully designed room by a lady architect. Radar is a writer interested in computer games and Japanese cartooning.

He has singular views about culture and politics. He appears to believe in the efficacy of mass-murder to advance civilization. The entire Muslim world, the folk in sub-Saharan Africa, the populations of the Indian sub-continent should all be exterminated. They are not contributing to the advancement of civilization, and there's not enough room and enough resources to feed the folk they are producing. The Vatican should be nuked because it refuses to encourage the use of condoms in Latin America, which is a direct cause of population growth here.

I suggest that it is not the Vatican that is responsible for population growth in Latin America but the folk in Latin America who insist on having sex. I see it as an irrefutable observation, but Radar is incredulous. I insist. Why kill celibate men who do not have children, and not kill the people who refuse to stop having sex and do have children? I'm beginning to get in the mood. The sheer logic of my argument sweeps me away.

"The answer to people having too many children is to kill everyone who is having sex. It's obvious. How can killing people who do not have sex, and letting people live who do have sex, solve the problem?"

I think it's terribly comic. I'm starting to get rowdy. I shout: "If they have sex, kill 'em. That's the answer." I slap my hand on the tabletop. "Kill 'em all. What the hell do they think they're doing?"

Radar is silent, looking at me.

I'm still shouting and trying to not laugh: "Or kill the women. They're the ones who have the kids in the first place. Kill the women and get this population issue under control. Ladies, you have sex, you die! Let's be serious here."

I'm on a roll. I notice that Radar is not participating. He looks rather grim. I decide to calm down. When I'm quiet and not laughing at my own tremendous sense of comic irony, I learn that he is offended. He tells me that I am talking to him as if he has not thought long and hard about these issues."

I didn't mean to hurt his feelings. I thought I was being good company. Sometimes I get too rowdy.

*** I'm writing a pitch letter to scare up some new subscribers and without thinking much about it give it to Paloma for her to go over. After a few minutes she tells me that a center section of the letter, made up of three long paragraphs, should be removed entirely. I'm struck by the fact that I have had reservations myself about those three paragraphs. Then she suggests that I have constructed two paragraphs poorly. She makes the same observation about each of

the paragraphs—that the final sentence of each should be the first sentence of each.

This observation really sets me back. I see that she is right on both counts. The kid hasn't finished high school. She doesn't read. She's not interested in revisionism, or anything else. I suppose she's interested in rock music, and she likes to help people who are addicts, or are in the local jail. It was interesting that she would spot the three superfluous paragraphs, but it is really something when she can tell me, the writer in the family, that the final sentence of two of my paragraphs should each be the first sentence. This is very sophisticated.

*** In line at the border to go to the other side, to the States. To the bank. I'm getting some contributions. A tremendous load has lifted from the shoulders of my mind. Sitting in the car, sweating in the late afternoon heat, moving ahead one car at a time. The vendors, the beggars, the occasional wafting of a fresh breeze flowing through the rolled down windows. The peace in understanding that for the moment there is no choice to make. Just stay in line and move forward one car space at a time. And then there are the palm trees in the center divide on either side of the ten-foot-high fence. I watch them sway in the afternoon breeze and after a while I watch their green fronds being replaced by long tassels of glistening silver light. I watch the glistening fronds twist and swirl in the slow currents of the afternoon air, seeing them as the green palm fronds they are, and at the same time, somehow, seeing them transformed into the gorgeous, shimmering, silver tassels that they also are.

Later, driving south through Baja in the dark, thought recalls a line from the Tao Te Ching.

"Heaven and earth are not benevolent."

The recollection seems pointless, as so much recollection is in fact pointless. Then in the headlights of the car I see another dead horse on the roadside, its four legs sticking straight out from its body.

*** A document called "A List of Jewish People Who Help Control the World" is going around the Internet. It's quite a list. Memory (I had nothing to do with it) recalled Tom Sunic's talk at IHR a couple months ago. He said that the greatest form of censorship on the planet is—self-censorship. And there you have it. In the end it isn't a handful of tyrants, or the rich, or the schemers. It's ordinary folk. We don't say it. We rarely say it. It's in our hands. It's all in our own hands. It isn't the intellectuals or the politicians or the businessmen doing the killing in Iraq. It's ordinary folk. It's us.

Smith's Report
is published by
Committee for Open Debate On the Holocaust
Bradley R. Smith, Founder

For your contribution of $39 you will receive 12 issues of ***Smith's Report***.
In Canada and Mexico--$45
Overseas--$49

Correspondence & checks to:

Bradley R. Smith
Post Office Box 439016
San Ysidro, CA 92143

Desk: 209 682 5327
Cell: 619 203 3151
Voice: 1 619 685 2163
Email:
bsmith@prodigy.net.mx
bradley1930@yahoo.com
Web: www.Codoh.com

OTHER STUFF

The Top Ten: So what were people reading on codoh.com in July you ask? Here's the Top Ten accessed pages (excluding index pages).

1. **Defending Against the Allied Bombing Campaign: Air Raid Shelters and Gas Protection in Germany, 1939–1945, Part 1,** by Samuel Crowell
2. **Speech of Reichsführer-SS Heinrich Himmler at Posen 4 October 1943**, translated by Carlos Porter
3. **One Third of the Holocaust,** VIDEO by Mike Smith
4. **Political Maps of Europe, 1914 to 1945**
5. **True Stories of a Holocaust Revisionist**, by Bradley Smith
6. **Defending Against the Allied Bombing Campaign: Air Raid Shelters and Gas Protection in Germany, 1939–1945, Part 2**, by Samuel Crowell
7. **Holocaust Memorial Pages: A Photographic Record**
8. **Goebbels: Mastermind of the Third Reich,** by David Irving
9. **George Orwell**, by Richard Widmann
10. **Thought Crime** 04/04/01 Australian Jews Take Legal Action against Dr. Fredrick Toben

Interesting to see how revisionist writers we have all read for years are the same writers who grab the attention of the endless stream of new people who come to CODOHWeb from all over the world. Interesting and significant.

Until next month then ….

Bradley